

Identity



MAGAZINE OF THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
£1.95 Issue 16 December 2001 ISSN 1469-7971

www.bnp.org.uk

BNP INFO LINE
0906 5532245

Calls cost £1 per min

EDITORIAL

LABOUR LENDS A HAND TO THE BNP

Turn to page 3

BIOGRAPHY

WHO IS OSAMA BIN LADEN?

Turn to page 6

REVIEW

2001: WHAT A TREMENDOUS YEAR FOR THE BNP!

Turn to page 10

PARTY NEWS

EXCELLENT RESULTS FROM BURNLEY

Turn to page 14

INTERNATIONAL

THE AUSTRALIAN AND DANISH ELECTIONS

Turn to page 16

www.bnp.org.uk

NO BRITISH BLOOD FOR AFGHANISTAN

Turn to page 4

"With Griffin at the helm, the BNP pose a bigger political threat than at any other time in the history of the far right in this country."

Panorama review on pages 8-9



*Nick Griffin, and
the Advisory Council,
wish all our readers a
merry Christmas, and a
happy new year*

Europe

■ Brussels proposals to stamp on political dissent



'Racism' and 'xenophobia', as defined by liberals, would be serious crimes in Britain under new proposals put forward by Brussels bureaucrats. Speaking out against immigration or moves to a federal European superstate, along with participation in any organisation with such un-PC views, would carry a prison sentence of two years! The plans, drafted by the European Union, define racism and xenophobia as aversion to individuals based on "race, colour, descent, religion or belief, national or ethnic origin."

The measures against free speech would include laws against "public dissemination of tracts, pictures, or other material containing expressions of racism or xenophobia" - including material posted on the Internet. Any country would be able to issue an arrest warrant for any dissident anywhere in the European Union. The proposals will require the unanimous backing of all 15 EU states, so may not be passed for quite some time, but the fact that such totalitarian ideas are even being suggested shows how the liberals are both arrogant and scared of popular opposition.


MORE ARTICLES NEEDED !

As readers will no doubt have noticed, *Identity* is undergoing a process of enlargement. This month's issue has increased from 16 pages to 20. We hope to increase this once again in January from 20 to 24 pages. But to do so we need more articles submitted for publication. It is unfortunate that the vast majority of articles submitted to *Identity* are not of the right type for publication. We now feel that it is time to lay out some guidelines for the right type of articles desired so as to allow us to publish a higher percentage than we do at present. We feel compelled to do this to ensure that the energy and time dispensed on writing articles is not wasted but used in the right way for maximum benefit. This, however, isn't a reflection on the high quality of writing we receive, just on the overall theme and thrust. The types of articles required for *Identity* are those

mainly written wholly on a specific subject or topic. What we don't want is long articles that are mainly ideological. We suggest to writers to choose a particular news event or trend or even person, and write an article that is rich in information on your particular choice. What we don't want is articles like "Why I joined the BNP", or "Time to take our country back" which are mainly vague appeals and don't cover anything current. We would also like all references to the author absent from articles, for instance: "I think that..." The two articles on page 8-9 are good examples of what we want: they are written on a particular topic exclusively, and are almost documentary in their style. If people who submit articles to *ID* observe the above points, we look forward to publishing your work in ever-increasing amounts.

Motorists

■ Time to up the speed limit



In a rare concession to Britain's 26 million persecuted motorists, the Government has ordered fifteen police forces to stop using hidden speed cameras. From now on, the hated boxes will have to be painted bright yellow, and be positioned so that drivers can see them from set distances. The rapid spread of speed cameras has become a notorious system of hidden taxation, not least because the road casualty figures used to 'justify' their introduction were fiddled

so as to overstate the safety value of speed cameras. No one denies that speed limits are necessary, and, in fact, there is a good case for lowering them to 20mph at certain points and times of day, such as near primary schools when children are on their way to school or home. But the massively improved braking ability of modern cars means that the 70 mph limit on motorways is far too low. The limit should be raised by at least 10mph, and to 90 mph in the outside lane. In the meantime, all speed cameras should be painted yellow.

Politics

■ Blair refuses to take action over sleaze

Blair's corrupt Labour government is reeling under a new wave of sleaze exposures. Three Scottish Labour politicians have been forced to admit to fiddling their expense claims to the tune of thousands of pounds each. Now MPs Jim Murphy and Russell Brown have been caught out in the same way. But

instead of being made to resign, New Labour's tolerance of sleaze means that they are merely being asked to pay back the money they stole off British taxpayers. Nor is there any sign of a proper investigation into just how common such dishonesty is among Labour Members of Parliament.

SUBSCRIBE TO IDENTITY



Identity is the monthly, full-colour magazine of the British National Party. By subscribing, you will receive the latest articles from the best writers on the nationalist scene, as well as the latest party news, developments and initiatives. All it costs is less than 58p per week!

Subscription for six issues costs £15 for UK residents:

Name.....

Address.....

☐ Please send me a sample copy of *Identity*. I enclose £2.50.

All cheques etc should be made payable to **British National Party** and sent to **PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ**. If you have any queries, please call us on **0700-900-6917**. Photocopies can be used.

**No. 16 December 2001 ISSN 1469-7971 Editor: Paul Golding
PO Box 28, Erith, DA8 2ZN Tel: 01322 342 930**



Labour lends a hand to the BNP

The end of November once again saw the BNP at the very centre of political debate in Britain, with the pseudo-nationalist outburst by the Home Secretary about the need for immigrants to learn English and integrate. This a cynical attempt to divert attention from criticism - due out in official reports on the Summer's race riots the very next day - of Labour's anti-white bias in government spending, and the way in which it has done so much to alienate sections of the white working class in places like Oldham, Bradford and Burnley.

Clearly David Blunkett also hopes that ordinary voters have such short memories that they'll believe that Blair's rotten Government has suddenly become pro-white and will flock back to vote Labour next May. Unfortunately for him, however, Labour's pro-Muslim credentials are already so deeply entrenched in such places that his sudden 'conversion' to British nationalism won't fool anyone.

Media stupidity

All Blunkett's comments will do - apart from further alienating the hyper-sensitive Muslim community and leading to even more attacks on innocent non-Muslims - is to push the debate about race and immigration even further up the popular agenda.

The media's habit of responding to such stories by putting up an endless string of arrogant "Asian community leaders" who promptly blame all the problems on "white racism" is also pushing more and more ordinary white people to the BNP.

Fairer deal for whites

By adopting the language, analysis and even the policies of the British National Party, the Labour party thinks it can "head us off at the pass" before next May's council elections. In fact, by legitimising our arguments Labour is undermining the remaining smear value of the 'racist' tag.

By talking about the need for "a fairer deal for whites" when it comes to council and government spending, Labour are only confirming that whites have been getting an unfair deal, thereby proving that the BNP has been right all along. Furthermore,

by making this point, Labour will inevitably raise expectations that something will now be done about it.

In reality, however, the political clout of the Muslim community in the areas in question, and of the PC lobby in the media, is so great that there is no possibility of any "fair deal" getting off the ground. As a result, such expectations will be quickly disappointed - and the British National Party will be ready to take a further big step towards being the natural party of the white working class.

A headache for 'anti-racists'

New Labour's temporary and phoney "Politically Incorrect" approach to race has undoubtedly caused quite a flap among the headless chickens of the Race Relations Industry.

But it shouldn't make any difference to us, except that ordinary voters on the doorstep will be even more ready than ever to talk about - and vote on - racial issues.

If we aren't on their doorsteps, a few might even be fooled into giving Labour one more try, but as long as they get their doors knocked by BNP campaigners, getting the voters to put their cross next to our candidates' names is going to be easier than ever.

"By adopting the language, analysis and even the policies of the British National Party, the Labour party thinks it can "head us off at the pass" before next May's council elections."

Paul Golding
Director of Publicity

NICK GRIFFIN: "NO BRITISH BLOOD FOR AFGHANISTAN!"

Following the September 11th attacks, the British National Party leadership announced the party's conditional support for retaliatory strikes by British aircraft and special forces against Osama Bin Laden's Al-Qaeda network and their Afghan Taliban hosts. This stance was predictably popular among ordinary voters, especially the white working class in areas where ordinary families have direct personal experience of the problems caused by Islamic fundamentalist extremists.



The BNP supported the Coalition attacks on certain conditions, first among which was a just settlement to the problems in Palestine. Since they haven't lifted a finger to solve that conflict, the BNP has withdrawn its support

While Bush and Blair had no real evidence to linking Bin Laden directly with the attacks, it was also clear from his past statements and actions that the fanatical millionaire was at least the *spiritual* Godfather of this kind of terrorism.

Underlying reason

Furthermore, it was necessary to look at the overall, geo-political 'big picture.' Regardless of precisely who authorised the Manhattan Massacre, irrespective of the way in which American foreign policy in the Middle East could be seen as the underlying reason for the carnage, and despite the fact that the target was in reality a Twin Tower of Babel - part of the power structure of a global capitalism which has no loyalty to our ethnic group - the bottom line was that this was a devastating attack by non-whites on

what to the Third World is the symbolic heart of the White World.

In a world where politics is ever more defined by ethnicity and tribalism, the destruction of the World Trade Center will have a long-term significance on a par with the defeat of the Russians at the hands of the Japanese in 1905, or of the British by the same foe at Singapore in 1942. The first of those disasters showed the would-be nationalist/communist masses of the coloured world that the White man was not invincible. The second proved to the Indians that the British were no exception, and made the loss of the Empire well-nigh inevitable.

Western ridicule

And nothing changes. The Sunday Telegraph has reported that September 11 videos are massively popular in China,

complete with commentaries such as: "This is the America the world wanted to see. Look at the panic in their faces as they wipe off the dust and crawl out of their strong buildings, now just a heap of rubble. We will never fear these people again, they have been shown to be soft-bellied paper tigers."

Particularly in America, quite a few people on the 'right', both the politically serious and the Hollywood Nazis, have allowed their opposition to Zionism and to the scheming crooks in charge of the US government to blind them to this simple fact: Billions of Third Worlders, who know little or nothing about who runs American foreign policy, saw only the White Man's technology being turned against him by non-whites armed just with Stanley knives.

Symbolism and deterrence

The only way to prevent September 11th becoming a lasting lesson in the weakness of the White World was for the White Man's military machine to wreak devastating death and destruction on those held to be responsible. As a matter of fact, for the purposes of symbolism and deterrence, it didn't even matter too much whether the right bunch of non-whites were hit; the important thing was to show the entire world that there is still a terrible price to pay for pushing the 'West' too far. The Chinese, in particular, will probably have put their planned final conflict with the USA back by a number of years having seen those daisy-cutter bombs in

action. This was the main reason why the BNP leadership made the decision to make an apparent exception to the fundamental nationalist policy of keeping out of foreign quarrels and, in particular, not risking British lives on behalf of Uncle Sam and his Zionist appendage in the Middle East.

We agreed to back Blair's plan to add British warplanes to the US assault on Afghanistan *not* because we had suddenly fallen in love with American imperialism, but because this was a critical moment in history when it was vital for the White Man to be seen to stand up and hit back.

Jack London recognised the same need as a journalist covering the Russo-Japanese War at the start of the last century, and saw all too clearly the long-term implications of the decision of the British and Americans to put their narrow imperialist interests before the global imperative to aid their racial kinsmen. We still believe in keeping Britain out of quarrels that have nothing to do with British interests, but understand that making an example of the Taliban was indeed very much in our interest - and in the interest of every white nation and individual on this small planet!

Political considerations

And, of course, there were more prosaic but no less important political considerations at home. To have adopted a policy which would have been presented by the media as being simultaneously soft on the likes of Bin Laden - Public Enemy Number One - and critical of Zionism - Public Taboo Number One - would have been political suicide, particularly for a party still falsely accused of being pro-Hitler and whose strongest



If President Bush was truly serious about punishing nations that support or harbour terrorists, he would have begun with Israel, a nation that elected one of the world's worst terrorists and mass murderers as its the Head of State. Does America's Senate punish Israel for harbouring terrorists? No, instead they supply their terrorists with billions of American taxpayer's dollars and the very weapons with which they kill!

grass-roots support is in areas where Islamic fundamentalism is widely understood to be a very serious danger.

Furthermore, the fact that Britain has joined in what President Bush initially called a "Crusade" against an Islamic enemy has clear implications for the stability of the multiracial society. If our Masters don't like this fact, they should have thought of that before they decided to ignore Kipling's warning about "the stranger within my gate." Once again, it is most definitely in the interest of the long-term survival of the British people for Tony Blair to embroil us in a no-win war against Islamic extremists abroad - nothing could do a better job of highlighting the problems of immigration at home.

Conditional support

We therefore came out in support of the initial bombing, although we also stated *right from the start* our belief that it was essential that this action should go hand in hand with three measures by the govern-

ments of the USA and UK to remove the irritants which rub on Muslim populations and turn some of their young hot-heads in particular into potential recruits for Islamic extremists.

These were: 1) Pressure Israel to reach a fair and just settlement with the Palestinians; 2) End the 10-year campaign of bombings and sanctions against Iraq, and 3) Withdraw US troops from Saudi Arabia, where their presence is deeply offensive to all good Muslims.

We also urged against any long-term British involvement in Afghanistan. All-in-all, hardly a position in favour of American or Zionist imperialism, but a commonsense and principled response to one of the defining events of our age.

Reservations

The vast majority of British nationalists either worked out the logic of all this for themselves, while most of the minority who expressed knee-jerk reservations understood our reasons once they were explained. As always, of course, the usual little band of dinosaurs and rumourmongers went to work. They ignored completely our three demands for lasting peace in order to suggest that running a campaign to warn the British people about the dangers of Islamic fundamentalism means that we've turned into Zionists. And they quoted selectively and dishonestly from our official statements to imply that we were unthinkingly pro-Bush.

This caused me some personal amusement since I was organising high-profile demonstrations in favour of British nuclear armed neutrality and against having American bases on our

soil, while most BNP members at the time were in favour of Cruise missiles, just because the long-haired freaks, crop-headed lesbians and leftist vicars of CND were against them. The idea that I would steer the BNP towards a position of approval of, let alone subservience to, American imperialism is ludicrous.

Don't mess with us

Responding to the plans of Bush and Blair, and of the various interest groups pulling their strings, the BNP said right from the start that our support for strikes against the Taliban and Al-Qaeda was limited both in time and scope. Well, the air strikes did go ahead and the Taliban regime has been destroyed, which provides the necessary object lesson to any other Third World regime which might be tempted, for whatever reason, to harbour terrorists who strike against the West. The message "Don't Mess With Us" will have been received loud and clear over the whole planet.

But that is enough. For Bush and Blair to continue their "War Against Terror" any longer will be a grave mistake, particularly as the 'green light' given by the USA to the Israelis to crush the Palestinians in their own homeland will pour high octane fuel on the fires of Islamic discontent around the world.

Add to this the clearly stated intention of the people in charge of America to target a rolling hit list of "40 to 50" Muslim states - including Somalia, the Sudan and Iraq - and you have the recipe for a global conflagration between hundreds of millions of the followers of a 7th Century visionary, and the advocates of the equally irrational and Messianic drive for a 21st Century New World Order. Neither side has *anything* to offer Britain, and as nationalists we want *nothing* to do with their war.

No British blood

Nor are we willing to accept that a single British soldier

should die in Afghanistan, either in order to guard the 'humanitarian' relief convoys with which Bush & Co are hoping to bribe the natives into given up their independence, or to enforce the peace needed to construct the huge oil pipeline which the US oil interests want to build there.

One of the most disgusting things about the so-called Coalition Against Terror's intervention in Afghanistan is the way in which even supposedly leftist commentators have refused to expose the role of the oil industry and drugs cartels in pushing for the war and subsequent occupation. Quite simply, if the Taliban had been prepared to allow that pipeline to be built, or to lift their ban on the growing of opium poppies, they'd still be in power today. The whole campaign is a cynical exercise in vested interest politics, and we demand that Britain play no further part in it.

Conclusion

The same will be true of the coming wave of 'Western' attacks on other Islamic countries. No doubt the System's propaganda machine will come out with waves of shocking tall stories designed to stampede the masses into war fever; but we won't be among the cattle. With the punitive air raids over, from henceforth our message will be straightforward: "No British blood for Afghanistan. No British involvement in New World Order wars!"



Saddam Hussain, President of Iraq; likely to be next victim of the "War on Terror"

Who is Osama bin Laden?



Osama bin Laden went from obscurity to being the world's most wanted man on September 11th. But despite all the headlines, most people know really very little about the real Osama bin Laden, where he came from, or details of his career. The following is the biography of the Godfather of international Islamic terrorism...

one huge house. He was a tough disciplinarian and enforced a strict religious and social code on all his children. He dealt with his children as big men and demanded that they show confidence at a young age.

Osama lost his father when he was 13. He married at the age of 17 to a Syrian girl who was a relative, and grew up as a religiously committed boy. Osama had his primary, secondary and university education in Jeddah.

Education

He gained a degree in public administration in 1981 from King Abdul-Aziz university in Jeddah. Following this he travelled widely, including countries of the Arabian Peninsula, Syria, Pakistan, Afghanistan, Sudan and the West. During this period he gained a reputation as a playboy, but later rediscovered his Islamic faith.

When the Soviets invaded Afghanistan, Osama went to Pakistan to meet refugees, and

on return to Saudi Arabia he started lobbying for the Saudis to support the *mujahedeen* (the native rebels fighting the Soviets). In 1982 he decided to go inside Afghanistan. He took with him money and construction machinery and put them at the disposal of the *mujahedeen*. He started spending more and more time in Afghanistan, occasionally joining actual battles.

Birth of Al-Qaeda

In 1986 Osama decided to build his own training camps inside Afghanistan for foreign anti-Soviet volunteer fighters. He then decided to have his own front and to run his own battles with his own command. Among the Arab fighters he had there were senior Arab ex-military men from Syria and Egypt with extensive military experience. Between 1984-1989 he spent more time in Afghanistan than in Saudi Arabia. In late 1989 the Soviets withdrew from Afghanistan, and he returned to Saudi Arabia. Al-Qaeda, meaning "the base", was created in 1989 as Soviet forces withdrew from Afghanistan and Osama Bin Laden and his colleagues began looking for new *Jihads*. The organisation grew out of the network of Arab volunteers who

had gone to Afghanistan in the 1980s to fight under the banner of Islam against Soviet Communism. The "Arab Afghans", as they became known, were battle-hardened and highly motivated.

The Iraqi situation

He attracted government anger by lecturing and making speeches warning of impending invasion by Saddam Hussain of Iraq, who at the time was on good terms with the Saudis.

When Iraq invaded Kuwait, he saw it as fulfilling his prophecy. He immediately sent a letter to the King suggesting in detail how to protect the country from potentially advancing Iraqi forces. In addition to many military tactics suggested, he volunteered to bring all the Arab *mujahedeen* to defend the Saudi kingdom.

While he was expecting the call to mobilise his men and equipment he heard the news which transformed his life completely. The Americans were coming instead to deal with Saddam. Bin Laden was furious and started lobbying against the decision. The regime was not happy with his activities so they limited his movements to Jeddah only. He was summoned for

Born in 1957 to a Syrian mother and a poor Yemeni father who both moved to Saudi Arabia, Osama bin Laden was the seventh son of fifty brothers and sisters. His father, Mohammed Awad bin Laden, started out life as a labourer, but ended up owning the largest construction firm in Saudi Arabia, amassing a fortune and building strong links with the Saudi Royal family, who in return decreed that all construction contracts should go to bin Laden's company.

Fatherly influence

The father had very dominating personality. He insisted on keeping all his wives and children in

● Photos of pro-bin Laden graffiti taken by BNP members in Leeds



questioning twice for some of his speeches and activities and was warned to be quiet.

To the Sudan

He didn't want to stay in Saudi Arabia with 'infidel' Americans around so he left for Pakistan, then on to Afghanistan. While in Afghanistan he was the victim of many assassination attempts by the Saudi secret services.

After failing to arbitrate in the civil war which developed in Afghanistan he left for the Sudan, attracted by what was at that time an Islamic banner raised by the new regime in Sudan. His massive financial assets were frozen sometime between 1992 and 1994 by the Saudis, who also withdrew his citizenship.

Back to Afghanistan

Sudan was exposed to huge international pressure for hosting bin Laden and his followers, and bin Laden felt that he was becoming an embarrassment to the Sudanese. Early in 1996 he started making contacts with his old friends in Afghanistan to prepare to move there instead. He fled Sudan in a very well planned operation, with many of his followers going straight to Jalalabad, in Eastern Afghanistan. When he arrived there,

the situation in Afghanistan was very unsettled between the many factions, but he had very good relations with all sides and all would protect him. A few months after his arrival he issued his first anti-American message, a Declaration of War. That declaration was limited to expelling American forces from the Arabian Peninsula.

Enter the Taliban

The Taliban swept into Jalalabad in late 1996, almost without a fight. They gave bin Laden sanctuary on account of his past services to Islam. He then ordered his followers to join in the civil war on their side. The Taliban leader, Mullah Omar, met bin Laden in early 1997 and the exile then forged strong links with the scholars who ran the Taliban. His renewed presence in Afghanistan attracted many *mujahedeen* to move there again.

Pan-Islamic

There was also a new phenomenon during that period: Bin Laden decided to go pan-Islamic instead of Saudi or Arabic. He attracted Kashmiris, Pakistanis, Indians, and Muslims from the Soviet Republics. He thought at that stage that he could make an international alliance against



America. In February 1998 he declared the formation of the International Front. Bin Laden then bombed US embassies in Kenya and Tanzania in July 1998 - which attracted huge media attention - and the USS Cole warship in October 2000. Then there were several years of relative silence - until September 11th!

Since then, bin Laden has yet again displayed his dual nature. On the one hand, he remains committed to the Koran's instructions to spread Islam over the entire world. But in an inter-

view in November's *Esquire* magazine, he advised the American people "to find a nationalistic government that will look after their interests and not the interests of the Jews." Yet, clearly, such nationalist governments would put an immediate stop to the creeping Islamification of the West. But then, 'contradiction' could have been Osama bin Laden's middle name!

Paul Golding

"Islamicists out of Britain" - BNP members protest outside the Houses of Parliament



● "Islamicists out of Britain! Defend freedom of speech!" This message came over loud and clear at the BNP's Central London demonstration on 24th November. Forty five members, mobilised at short notice from the London area, took part in the demo, outside the House of Commons. The aim was to draw attention to the way in which David Blunkett's new Heresy Law further restricts the traditional freedom of speech of the British people, and 'criminalises'



efforts to warn the public about the dire threat posed to Britain by Islamic extremism. The demonstration was enlivened by the presence of Mullah Tony Bin Blair, complete with robes and turban, and two Crusaders, armed with swords and St. George Cross shields. The demonstration was covered by several journalists, and attracted hoots of support and 'thumbs up' gestures from many passing motorists and cab drivers.

DIRECTOR OF TECHNOLOGY SIMON DARBY EXAMINES THE BBC'S LATEST ATTEMPT TO SMEAR THE BNP...

"A long journey into oblivion!" Just 32 months ago this was the triumphal claim of the BBC documentary on the BNP: The Lost Race. Panorama's Under the Skin of the BNP shows how things have changed, and how desperately short of material the smear-mongers now are!

Jane Corbin:
The middle class wife of a Tory MP. Qualified to tell working class folk how to think?



At first, the idea of co-operating with Panorama met with some resistance within the party. This was soon quelled by the realisation that we have moved too far into the mainstream to shirk away from this type of scrutiny.

Moreover, we knew that the programme would go ahead with or without our permission and involvement. If we "played ball," we could at least nudge it towards showing some images – such as sticks of BNP rock and work with the victims of anti-white racism – that would show us in a good light.

Unprecedented access

We gave Panorama's Murdoch Rodgers and his team unprecedented access to the day-to-day life of the party. It was only on very rare occasions – and always at the request of ordinary members – that filming was barred.

The Panorama team clearly came to the assignment with various preconceptions about the

BNP. I know for a fact that they were relieved when it was finally all over. A relationship that had started as hunter and hunted had undergone a subtle metamorphosis. It began to dawn on Panorama that their "prey" was a lot more cunning than they had thought.

Not only were we well capable of defending ourselves, we had the political savvy to bend our output through the politically correct prism we knew the BBC would use. The hunter had become the hunted, the 'spinners' were being 'spun' – facts with which they were not at ease.

Expected message

The finished programme put over precisely the message we had expected when we first decided to take part: "The BNP is growing and claims to have changed, but underneath the thin mainstream veneer there lurks, blah, blah." Which means that at least the BBC told a substantial audience that we've seen the

need to improve our image, that we're trying to do so, and that we're growing. That's a lot better than we used to get!

Apart from the blatant lies, the greatest failing was in the quality of the production. The editing was poor, and it was obvious when people were cut off in mid-sentence. This was seen even more clearly by the many thousands of people who downloaded the original, uncut interview we put on our website within 25 minutes of the credits rolling.

Investigation

There was also a lack of conviction in Jane Corbin's commentary. At times it gave the impression that Panorama were not so much aiming at the public as trying to convince themselves that the hours of footage showing the party in a good light that they had left on the cutting room floor had never even existed.

After a five-month in-depth investigation, the biggest 'shock' they could find was a few Bernard Manning jokes. And these ivory tower liberals are so out-of-touch with ordinary people that they thought that this 'revelation' would damage us!

Even more pitiful was the fact that they failed to realise that we

had made a conscious decision to throw them scraps like the late-night comedy and the YBNP paintballing session so as to give them material that they would find 'offensive' but which would be water off a duck's back to potential voters, or even attractive to potential members.

The greatest fault of all was the insistence that the BNP should provide proof for every single thing we said, whilst the BBC themselves utilised an actor to mouth the words of an alleged party mole, and a paid informer! The fact that the 'mole' also used phrases, such as "old wine in new bottles," which are personal favourites of the editor of the anti-BNP magazine *Searchlight*, only added to the air of unreality for those "in the know."

Support

Within an hour of the screening, the BBC's own viewers' Internet forum showed a massive level of

support for the party, with many comments deeply critical of the style employed by Panorama. Meanwhile, our own Internet hit rate more than doubled.

Things have changed very quickly in the last 32 months. The BBC has got to wake up to the fact that its

strategy of putting as many ethnic faces on our screens as pos-

"With Griffin at the helm, the BNP pose a bigger political threat than at any other time in the history of the far right in this country."

PANORAMA WEBSITE



The infamous BNP 'mole'. Obviously a complete fabrication. The BBC sees fit to use tricks like this against legal, constitutional political parties

sible and talking about "celebrating diversity," "enriching our society" and "racists and xenophobes" simply doesn't wash any more. The British electorate are learning how to translate from the politically correct codebook.

No matter how it is dressed up, we simply will not accept becoming a minority in our own country in sixty years time.

Surge in enquiries

In a free society it is quite proper to ask questions and investigate those who seek public office. However, far from

answering questions about the BNP, this particular sub-dermatological analysis has thrown up more questions about the motivations and integrity of those within the BBC itself. Not just among our own members, but also amongst an increasingly disenfranchised and angry British electorate.

That's not wishful thinking; we know it's a fact, because one key statistic sums it all up: The end result of Panorama's "BNP buster" was one of the largest surges in membership enquiries of this entire, fantastic year!

Eight outright lies from Panorama

LIE 1: "We had a top level BNP insider as an informant, but we've had to conceal his identity."


Truth: Nonsense. His alleged 'testimony' was unconvincing and unsubstantiated hearsay and fantasy put into a script. If the man existed they'd have revealed his identity and made the programme far more convincing (even though he'd still have been making it all up).

LIE 2: The reason that there was no "Nazi regalia or white supremacist literature" on sale at the Red-White-and-Blue was that "the leadership had ordered that such items shouldn't be put on display."

Truth: The reason such items weren't on display is that the BNP doesn't have them, and doesn't sell them - anywhere!

LIE 3: "Tower Hamlets council had never heard of FAIR or its work"

Truth: Answered moments later when they said that Dave Hill had been barred from the council's housing department for arguing with officials (on behalf of residents who had asked for his help). Furthermore, in the telephone call to the 'pensioner,' Dave could clearly be heard telling him "don't mention us," so it's hardly surprising that many of the one hundred or so people helped did as advised and didn't mention the BNP or FAIR.



LIE 4: "Dave Hill encouraged a pensioner to lie about being racially abused."

Truth: The man phoned up and said that he had been repeatedly racially abused by young Bengalis, and asked for advice on how to get it stopped - advice that Dave provided, because Citizens Advice Bureaux and such like won't help white people, hence the need for FAIR. Note that the BBC didn't play the first call, because that would have shown how their actor said he'd been severely racially abused, which was the basis on which Dave was giving him advice. If things weren't so horribly wrong in places like East London, ordinary people would just call the police or the council when this sort of thing happens, but unfortunately it's not like that any more.

LIE 5: Was implied in the questions directed to Colin Smith about how many or few people had contacted the Ethnic Liason Committee. "It's an empty front," was the implication.

Truth: Three Sikhs and three Hindus all phoned or emailed Panorama's Murdoch Rogers to tell him how they were in touch with the BNP, with several telling him how they were already working on our joint "Islam - a threat to us all"

CD/cassette. One even offered to go on the programme, but Panorama conveniently forgot they existed.

LIE 6: The criminal convictions of some BNP members show there's something wrong with the party.

Truth: Getting into trouble at football matches, petty theft, being drunk and disorderly and such like are - like it or not - part of growing up in modern working class Britain. According to some criminal statisticians, more than 70% of British males now get a criminal record by the time they're 25. The BNP recruits large numbers of working class lads, so we inevitably get their criminal records as well. But at least we don't have the paedophiles who infest the Labour Party (including the Cabinet), and we've never accepted £2 million donations from Chinese drug barons like the Conservatives!

LIE 7: "Griffin and other BNP leaders listened to Nazi music in the beer tent late that night."

Truth: They did not. No such music was played - full stop! Anyone playing such material at any BNP event would be thrown out. The music played on the programme was presented as if it was being played on the RWB site, but the beer tent was in fact

next to the electricity generator. Even if Nazi music had been played in it, no one would have been able to record it clearly enough to play on television. But after such a lacklustre, unconvincing and most of all, dishonest programme, Panorama clearly felt that one last lie wouldn't make a difference. Plenty of people have noticed that they didn't ask Nick Griffin about this allegation, that's because they'd then have had to show him saying it was a lie, which would have made ordinary viewers realise that it might be. And it was a lie - gutter journalism of the worst sort. When Jane Corbin gets even uglier and can't be shown on television any more, she can always get a job with the News of the Screws!

LIE 8: "The BNP is full of thugs with criminal convictions and no normal, decent people."

Truth: Panorama had a large amount of footage of pensioners, teenagers, businessmen, health workers, trade unionists, mums with babes-in-arms and so on, all explaining why they support the British National Party. But instead of making a true-to-life "warts 'n' all" picture, they only showed the warts - and most of those, as this list shows, they made up!

More on www.bnp.org.uk



A REVIEW OF 2001: A TREMENDOUS YEAR FOR THE BNP !

2001 started with the BNP leadership and key cadres meeting at a full weekend conference to plan the year, discuss our direction, and set achievable goals. The top quality input from a number of talented individuals who had not attended such events before was an early sign of the exciting amount of 'new talent' now getting seriously involved with the party.



The plans and decisions made at this leadership conference were then presented for scrutiny to the cream of the party's officials at a successful organisers' conference. Available at both these organisational events, was the newly refurbished *Identity*, which now boasted 16 full colour pages and superior layout and design.

Gearing up

The party then started to gear up for the general election by holding two national rallies. The first, held in London, was a big morale boost for the 200 people who attended. A plush venue, the unveiling of the huge Alfred the Great backdrop, great speeches by many party officials, inspiring testimonials from various newcomers, and an immensely emotive final address by party chairman Nick Griffin, all combined to make it a really great meeting.

Yet, for all that, it was nothing extraordinary - we've had plenty of good meetings in the past. The Northern rally, on the other hand, saw something very new happen. Once again it was a good meeting, and the fact that it was held in a Conservative Club later turned it into a major embarrassment for William Hague. But what really made the day was that the meeting ended with the majority of those present heading for a lightning (though carefully pre-planned) demonstration against anti-white racist attacks outside Oldham police station.

Excellent publicity

This received excellent publicity in the town, with the police saying publicly that the BNP demonstrators were "well disciplined, well-organised and not trying to incite trouble." This not only raised the local profile of the BNP but also boosted the morale of white residents gener-

ally, as they saw that, at long last, they had a party organising in Oldham to demand Rights for Whites. Thanks to everyone who turned out, the party was already on the road to a good vote in the town later in the year!

Middle England

While this year has seen a massive emphasis on issues related to immigration, that is a reflection on outside events rather than a decision to neglect other issues. And in fact, over the first few months of the year, a lot of energy was directed into exposing the Government's criminally incompetent handling of the Foot and Mouth epidemic, and to building on the earlier good work of our Land & People circle in seeking to break out of our urban heartlands and into rural 'Middle England.'

A combination of short circulation leaflets and Internet work won the party some excellent coverage in various local and regional media outlets, and got

our stance against MAFF incompetence and in favour of protecting British agriculture across to large numbers of farmers. An eye-witness account from a BNP soldier of the disgusting brutality involved in the culling of perfectly healthy animals started off on our own website but ended up in many of the national papers, including the *Mail* and the *Times*, and in agricultural expert Richard North's definitive book about the crisis and the Government/EU scheming to undermine British agriculture.

White Rights

Meanwhile, back in the multiracial cities, the Spring saw the launch of FAIR - Families Against Immigrant Racism - our white victims' support group. Quite apart from some more good publicity, the public response in areas where we've tested FAIR material has already proved the value of this approach, but a lot more needs to be done to get it up and running



One of the newly purchased fast-speed digital printers. The party now has three of these machines, in London, the North West and Ulster



BNP candidate Nick Griffin talks to local families during the general election campaign in Oldham



"This is what we are fighting for - a future for British children!"



Oldham police station demonstration against anti-white race attacks

effectively in its own right.

More work had been done in Oldham before the story of the brutal attack on Walter Chamberlain hit the national media. We were already planning to contest at least two seats in the town, and local organiser Mick Treacy had already asked Nick Griffin to stand. The adoption meeting was scheduled for that weekend anyway, and the coincidence was irresistible for the media.

Media coverage

The result was a tidal wave of TV, radio and newspaper interviews, including a double appearance by Nick Griffin on GMTV, which left top interviewer John Stapleton almost speechless with rage and the large breakfast audience aware that even Oldham's police chief had described what was going on as "ethnic cleansing of white people."

The BNP's presence in the town again became a matter for extensive media coverage in the wake of the massive Muslim riot there. The tensions this caused undoubtedly boosted the party's vote in the general election, but this factor should not be overstated. After all, the second highest vote in the entire election came in Burnley, weeks before there were any riots in that town.

General election

And, of course, Britain has seen race riots before, without them automatically producing big nationalist votes. They always produce heightened awareness of the problems caused by mass immigration, but this doesn't get translated into electoral support

without a great deal of grassroots work and a conscious effort to make the party in question a 'legitimate' choice. Protest votes don't fall into anyone's lap, they have to be mopped up.

Which is exactly what we did in June's general election, with the now well-known consequence that in Oldham West Nick Griffin beat the LibDems (who control Oldham council) by scoring 16.4% - the highest parliamentary vote ever for a white nationalist in Britain. The second highest vote came in Burnley, where Steve Smith took 11.25%, a particularly striking result given that the town had seen no 'ethnic' rioting at all in the run up to the election. Mick Treacy came a very close third, gaining 11.2% in Oldham East. Overall we saved five deposits and came within a whisker of saving many more!

Election glory

This would have been newsworthy in its own right, but the impact was greatly increased by the famous gagging stunt. At the end of a basically boring election, the appearance of the two BNP candidates in Oldham wearing bold print messages on T-shirts and gags - in protest at being banned from speaking from the count platform - became the most well-known image of the entire campaign. Once again, the BNP managed to create such a good story that even leftwing media outlets just couldn't resist running it.

As the summer wore on, more and more Northern towns went up in flames as youths of Pakistani and Bangladeshi origin fought with the police and

attacked white and Hindu-owned businesses and houses. The ethnic minority violence in Bradford in particular was described by police as being of unparalleled ferocity. Tensions rose as white communities started to mobilise in self-defence and it was announced that armoured police land rovers had been shipped from Belfast to a depot near Bradford in order to control any further outbreaks.

Blame the whites

As part of a growing media campaign to try to shift the blame for the trouble onto the white community, the BBC decided (with a bit of well-timed help from our own Media Monitoring Unit) to put Nick Griffin in the *Newsnight* ring with Jeremy Paxman. No doubt it was felt that Paxo would give Mr. Griffin a very public working over, leaving the BNP's new public image for success in ruins and giving viewers the impression that the party was in some way to blame for the riots.

In the event, however, the interview turned into another BNP triumph and a disaster for Paxman. The bitterly anti-white *Observer* columnist Nick Cohen described it as "the worst day's work in his entire career." In the studio immediately afterwards, Paxo himself lost his temper, shouting at Mr. Griffin that his blaming politically correct reporting for exacerbating ethnic tensions was "absolute f***ing b*lllocks!"

The interview became news in its own right; the *Independent* even devoted an editorial to it, recommending that the BBC should stop giving airtime to

Nick Griffin as he had become a "skilful interviewee." Some TV programmers had already clearly reached the same conclusion; another media heavyweight, Martin Bashir, had interviewed him for a good 25 minutes for a programme about events in Oldham, but not one second of this exchange has ever been shown!

The Paxman piece, however, was seen by millions of people and produced a wave of telephone and Internet enquiries which wasn't much smaller than those brought in by the general election results themselves.

Other spokesmen

Nick Griffin was also interviewed repeatedly on various radio stations, particularly Radio 4, where he took two more top level BBC scalps - Sue McGregor and John Humphries. But the BNP's media presence over the summer certainly wasn't a one-man band. No fewer than twelve of our spokesmen appeared on major TV or radio programmes, with Burnley's Steve Smith and Oldham's Mick Treacy in particular rapidly become seasoned experts at handling the predictably PC questions.

As the enquiries continued to pour in, the media coverage became a story in its own right. Bill Morris, the Jamaican-born leftist in charge of the Transport and General Workers Union, complained that the BBC had become "the house journal of the BNP." "Nick Griffin," he whinged, "is on Radio 4 more often than the Prime Minister."

Continued on next page...



...continued from previous page

Exaggerated though his complaint undoubtedly was, it does reflect the huge growth in our media profile. At local and regional level too, 2001 has seen a big increase in newspaper coverage of BNP stories, some of them presented with a degree of objectivity that would have been unthinkable only a couple of years ago. That couldn't be said though about most of the hysterical coverage generated by Nick Griffin's four-day tour of Scotland, although even here quite a few good pro-BNP points did stand out from the crazed fantasies about his presence being likely to spark "Bradford-style riots" all over Scotland!

Refuting media lies

Our continual effort to refute such media excesses was led as always by Dr. Phil Edwards' Media Monitoring Unit, which even at one stage forced a retraction over one particularly lurid fantasy about the funding of our general election campaign out of the *News of the World*.

Also conceived partly to blunt the edge of the opposition PR onslaught was the BNP's Ethnic Liaison Committee, a group intended to provide a political home for the small but growing number of pro-British non-whites who, while they cannot join the party, are nevertheless

willing to help us in our fight against continued mass immigration and the way in which the native British have been turned into second class citizens in our own land. The ELC was unveiled in July and didn't just produce some excellent publicity; its organisational value has since been proven by the ground-breaking joint audio venture *Islam - a threat to us all*, produced by the BNP, Sikhs and Hindus. Unfortunately, this is so mind-blowing for liberals that two different journalists have already told us that they are not going to cover the story because "we don't know how to handle it." Nevertheless, it shows the potential for finding allies against multiculturalism in the most unlikely places.

Red-White-and-Blue 2001

Red-White-and-Blue 2001 attracted massive media attention, with ridiculous claims about "secret training camps" and threats to stop it by the far-left completely backfiring when regional television news programmes showed footage of the event itself. The RWB also provided some of the very best shots in the Panorama programme shown recently.

Despite the terrible weather, the Red-White-and-Blue was the highlight of the year for many people with more than 500 attending. Some will treasure

most the way you could have heard a pin drop between the verses of the Welsh national anthem as sung by two little girls. Others will remember above all the warm comradeship when the whole audience joined in the singing of traditional British folk songs on the Saturday evening. Then there were the fireworks; the superb regional stalls; the cultural events such as the YBNP's 'White Slavery' presentation or the recitation of our land's earliest surviving poem, the *Gododdin*; children playing on the bouncy castle in the pouring rain; the impassioned speeches, or the sheer emotion as hundreds of people belted out Jerusalem at the end. Everybody who was there will have their own special memories - and everyone will be back again next year!

The RWB, of course, takes a huge amount of effort, not to

mention thousands of pounds, to organise. Not so the next wave of national BNP publicity, which cost us just one short phone call! Earlier in the year, Iain Duncan-Smith had stated that "the BNP should be crushed."

Getting back at IDS

Stage One of our retaliatory 'Operation Payback' came when the BNP's website carried an article explaining why we wanted to see IDS win the Tory leadership race. As a matter of fact, we weren't fussed either way, but we guessed that the piece would prove too good for papers like the *Mirror* and *Guardian* to resist.

Much to his chagrin, this turned out to be the case. IDS was perfectly set up for Stage Two, which was launched when a little bird tipped off his rival Ken Clarke's camp about the presence among the local



A few of the Trafalgar Club members who enjoyed a trip around Stokesay Castle the day after the 2001 Annual Dinner.

Conservative vice chairmen backing IDS of one Edgar Griffin - father of the BNP chairman. As expected, the Clarke team promptly fed the story to the media, and Iain Duncan-Smith found out just how politically uncomfortable it can be to cross the British National Party! We were big news yet again.

It wasn't just a matter of revenge, though. The hard political calculation behind the leak was that IDS would still win, but that having done so he would feel compelled to put plenty of clear pink water between the Conservatives and the BNP. Once again, we were right, and a steady trickle of Tories defected to us as their old party's new leader condemned 'racism,' persecuted various 'right-wingers' and promised to make the Conservative party more attractive to ethnic minorities and homosexuals.

Events and campaigns

September also saw the first of the Young BNP's youth camps, *Camp Excalibur*. This was a great success with around 30 young people attending for a weekend of outdoor pursuits.

The media's traditional late summer 'Silly Season' came to an abrupt end on September 11th. Naturally enough, in the first few days after the World Trade Center attack, there was really no other news. But when journalists started looking for a different angle a few days later, the BNP had already laid it out for them on a plate - a national Campaign Against Islam.

Within hours of the horror, we had on our website a downloadable leaflet pointing out to parents their right to withdraw their children from Religious Instruction lessons if they don't want them taught about Islam or other foreign religions.

This leaflet, and one which was conventionally produced with a huge print run a few days later, received massive publicity, especially in the West Midlands. Apart from the mass production standard anti-Islam leaflet, another leaflet distributed via Internet downloads was entitled 'Islam - a cross to bear' and was aimed at churchgoers. Only small numbers were put out, but that was enough. The activists who leafleted Canterbury Cathedral made a particularly big impression on account of

one of them being dressed as a vicar! The Panorama website described this imaginative trick, and the Oldham gagging, as examples of the way in which the BNP is now the master of the political stunt. Praise indeed, coming from the most powerful propaganda machine in Britain!

Training

October saw the first BNP Annual College - a full and intensive day designed to impart vital organisational skills to key officials. With so much potential in next May's council elections, it was a natural choice to focus at this event on in-depth training in electioneering techniques, and complying with new financial regulations.

Then came the results from Burnley. Our candidates won votes of 19% and 23%, taking second place in one of two wards which are by no means our best in the town. And this in the teeth of what Granada TV's political correspondent called "an unprecedented Labour party campaign" involving Cabinet Ministers and TV personalities knocking on doors and telling people that they'd lose their jobs if they elected the BNP!

A third seat in Burnley was up for grabs the following week and, we took more than 19%. This was the clearest possible proof that the Panorama hatchet job, which went out on the Sunday between the elections, had no impact on ordinary voters. And it was the best evidence yet that, provided enough serious work - particularly blanket canvassing - is done in our target wards in places like Burnley, next year can end up even better than this one!

All the exciting activities and high profile media coverage wouldn't be any use if they didn't lead to growth and a stronger organisation. So some of the best things about our progress in 2001 have been achieved behind-the-scenes:

- Our new recruitment rate this autumn has been five times what it was this time last year
- There are new units springing up all over the country. Swansea, Brighton, Basildon, Watford, Hull, East Birmingham North Wales and Halifax are just a few of them.
- Branches and groups all over the country are witnessing bur-



geoning attendance at meetings

● Since January this year the BNP has gone from not having any print equipment of its own to having fast, high-tech digital printers in London, Oldham and Belfast

● Our 'virtual headquarters' team has grown from four only two years ago to more than a dozen

● In between all the recruiting activity and media fuss, the party's administration has successfully carried out a massive planning and reorganisation programme to enable us to comply with the new law on party funding

● The Young BNP is now well-established, holding its own very successful activities and having a presence in fifty schools already

● After a gap of some years, the BNP is nationally available via BT Directory Enquiries

● Both *Freedom* and *Identity* now make healthy profits, and the party now has proper accounting procedures, with its national accounts being present-

ed annually to the Advisory Council and all organisers

● The general election produced record hits on our websites, and our Internet presence brings in a steady flow of new members and lapsed 'old hands' who can now see light at the end of the tunnel for British nationalism

● With more than one hundred members, the Trafalgar Club is the most successful fund-raising group in the history of the BNP

● The Publicity department has revamped and expanded our propaganda range, including leaflets, posters and other items. And our machinery for dealing with the media is better than ever

● The party's expanded central administration has been able to cope with the unusually high levels of extra work

● More members bought and distributed their own individual supplies of our latest anti-Islam leaflet than any previous publicity item. More than ever, the British National Party is based on activism and enthusiasm!



EXCELLENT BURNLEY BY-ELECTION RESULTS

"British National Party vote nearly doubles in Burnley. Twenty years ago it was the Militant Tendency challenging Labour in the North West, now is it going to be the BNP?" This was the shocked reaction in a Granada TV news report of the results of two council by-elections in Burnley last month. The average BNP vote rose to 21%, compared with 12% in May 2000 and 11.25% in this year's general election.

In Trinity Ward, Mark Terrell (pictured above on left) came second with 19%, while in Lowerhouse Carol Ann Hughes (pictured above centre) came third with an excellent 23.5% of the vote.

Increase in vote

A week later, a third by-election took place in Rosehill. Despite a front page attack in the local paper, BNP candidate James Cowell (pictured above on right) took 19.2% of the vote, beating both the LibDems and the Conservatives, who had been unable to find candidates in the other two wards and only managed to get 8.4%.

The BNP increase is all the more remarkable on account of a totally unprecedented mobilisation by Labour, which saw the sitting MP take three weeks out of parliament to knock on virtually every door in order to urge his constituents not to vote BNP. Three Labour cabinet ministers, including John Prescott, joined in the campaign as well, complete with dire threats

that employers would move out and leave everyone unemployed if electors dared to choose the British National Party!

"I think it is hugely important that the Liberal Democrats have put aside political differences to unite us against the menace of the BNP."

Stuart Caddy, Labour Council Leader

Anti-BNP effort


Labour also put out no fewer than five leaflets to every home and, most important of all, bussed in huge numbers of activists from all over the north of England to canvass and recanvass every single house. Members of the far-left

were also active, distributing lie-filled anti-BNP smear sheets. The BNP, by contrast, ran a low-key, local campaign.


Future opportunity

The leap in the BNP vote was therefore very heartening, because Labour will be unable to mount such an intensive campaign next May, when they'll be stretched over a huge number of seats. Obviously more work, particularly proper canvassing of key wards, is essential if we are to win in places like Burnley, but as long as this is done, May 2002 could see an historic breakthrough.

CHECK OUT THE BNP ON THE INTERNET



www.bnp.org.uk



www.youngbnp.com


BNP LAUNCHES NEW POSTER CAMPAIGN



Just before the new anti-free-speech Heresy Laws came into effect, the BNP launched a poster drive to be the culmination of our Campaign Against Islam. We reproduce the poster above, unfortunately it has to be censored to comply with the new 'law'.

Before Blunkett's gag, BNP launched joint campaign with Sikhs and Hindus

The joint audio recording between the BNP's Chairman Nick Griffin, a long-time Sikh activist and a Hindu who has made a personal in-depth study of Islam is now out on professionally-wound cassette. Organised by the BNP's Ethnic Liason Committee, the recording is more than 70 minutes long, and is almost certainly the most detailed audio expose of the 'Green Menace' ever produced. Before Blunkett's Heresy Law made this recording possibly against the law, the recording was downloaded from our website many thousands of times, and thousands of CD and tape recordings were posted and passed out to journalists, publicans and many ordinary voters. Major British media outlets have also been sent copies, and several journalists told party officials that they would not be covering the story because the material was too 'sensitive' and



"we don't know how to handle it." So much for the fearless free press!

The Politically Correct lie, put about by the likes of Tony Blair, Iain Duncan-Smith and the BBC, that Islam is a religion of 'peace' is shattered into a thousand pieces by the facts exposed by this project. This project - bringing together white Britons, Sikhs and Hindus, in a common effort to expose and resist the innate aggression of the imperialistic ideology of Islam - is a truly historic 'first' for the British National Party. Yet again, we give the lie to those who falsely claim that we are 'racists' or 'haters'. We sympathise and identify with every people in the world who want to secure or preserve a homeland for themselves, their traditions and their posterity. And we demand and strive for that same basic human right for the native British.

NOTEPAD

- All branches standing candidates next May in the council elections please send photographs and biographical details of candidates as soon as possible to: PO Box 28, Erith, DA8 2ZN.

- Please note that the former bank accounts for *Freedom* and *Identity*, both using those names, are in the process of being closed. Thus cheques should NOT be made out to *Freedom* or *Identity*, but at all times from now on to *British National Party*.

JOIN NOW FOR 2002

Membership for the year 2002 is now open. £25 for normal wage earners, £12 for unemployed, students and OAPs. Please send to PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ.

A ROUND UP OF THE MONTH'S PARTY NEWS



- Although N.West London BNP has been very active with a variety of community politics initiatives over the last year, the branch has not held any formal meetings up until now. This changed in November, with an inaugural meeting attended by 40 activists and supporters. The meeting was chaired by Ian Edwards and addressed by two key activists from Woking, Bob James from East London, and party chairman Nick Griffin.



- November saw the first BNP meeting to be held in Liverpool since the old branch there folded in 1991. Chaired by Joe Davies, the meeting was briefly addressed by several other local speakers, and then by Nick Griffin. With an excellent first time attendance of nearly 40 people and a collection of £250, the hard work put in over the last few months - with more than 2,000 Freedoms going out every issue - is clearly paying off.

- Members and supporters from Peterborough and various East

Anglian towns packed into an enthusiastic meeting on the afternoon before the annual Hereward Club dinner on 17th November. The meeting was addressed by a retired midwife and a practising psychiatric nurse, both of whom related their experiences in the Health Service to the problems facing the British people. Very welcome too was the founder of the original BNP, John Bean, speaking at his first nationalist meeting for more than twenty years. Mr. Bean outlined his own political career, before going on to explain how the modern BNP's principled but moderate approach had convinced him that it offered real hope for the political salvation of Britain. Finally, the meeting was addressed by Nick Griffin, who used various extracts from the Koran to expose Politically Correct fictions about Islam, and pointed out the real origins of the deep hostility towards British society that has led to Peterborough suffering events such as the brutal racist murder of 17-year-old white lad Ross Parker and the £4 million arson attack on the cathedral.

- Another very well attended meeting was held on 18th November, with 90 local members and supporters present. It had a YBNP theme, with a speech from Mark Collett, YBNP Chairman, and Director of Publicity Paul Golding. Ably chaired by national treasurer John Brayshaw, the main address was given by party

chairman Nick Griffin. Mr. Griffin explained the BNP's position on Afghanistan, and how our initial, conditional support for air strikes against the backers of Islamic terrorism most certainly did not apply to sending in British soldiers to be sitting targets manning relief operations or patrolling installations for US oil companies. All present enjoyed a buffet halfway through the meeting. The high level of morale was reflected by a collection which topped £500.

- The hard work needed to establish the BNP firmly in Hertfordshire, a major 'white flight' area north of London has carried on this year. The latest local meeting saw standing room only for an enthusiastic crowd including a number of new recruits. The meeting was chaired by Ray Johns and addressed by several key speakers. First up was Dr Phil Edwards from the BNP's Media Monitoring Unit, who reviewed some of the party's tussles with journalists over recent months. Next on was party administration dynamo Chris Green, whose usual mix of good news, political passion and wit was very well received. After a break and an excellent collection, the main address was given by party chairman Nick Griffin. Mr. Griffin started by detailing the case of a lady member in North London, who had been illegally arrested and very roughly treated by a dozen police officers, for having put up one of the

BNP's "Islam Out of Britain" posters. He explained that such a message was completely legal under present Race Laws and predicted that she would win very substantial compensation if the police were foolish enough to attempt to prosecute her. A wide-ranging speech was followed by an equally varied questions-and-answers session, which brought a very successful meeting to a close.

- The inaugural meeting of East Birmingham BNP was held on



29th November. Rob Purcell did an excellent job chairing his meeting, which was attended by around 30 people. Nick Griffin rounded off a hectic month by speaking here as well. He spoke about the threat posed by Muslim fundamentalism, and on how the BNP's message would be even better received by ordinary people who might have been slightly sceptical of just "taking the BNP's word for it" on account of being backed up by Sikhs and Hindus. The need for the party to continue working to put its message across in a sensible, moderate way was discussed and agreed during the questions-and-answers session.

The Australian General Election

The Australian media was writing the Tory government's obituary six months ago. Of course the Tories had displayed the usual arrogance and incompetence which Australians have come to expect from their major party politicians. They had also introduced the despised Goods and Services Tax, the Australian equivalent of VAT. However their biggest problem was that their support base was haemorrhaging, with a significant loss of voters to the nationalist One Nation party.



The preferential voting system in the past had enabled the major parties to make deals to retrieve straying voters, but this time the Powers That Be in Australia had decreed that nobody should make preference deals with One Nation. They were outraged by One Nation's past successes, and were determined to destroy the party.

Political chicanery

The Labor party immediately announced that they would obey. Multinational business interests had already bought their support for internationalist economic policies with large corporate donations. But the Labor party also surrendered control of its social policy to the 'progressive' far-left decades ago, and it knew that there was no chance of a preference deal with One Nation.

The Tories easily had the most to lose. They were reluctant to split the socially conservative vote, and some MPs wavered and made noises about making deals with One Nation. So the media threw hate propaganda at anybody who dared disobey, and threatened more during the

forthcoming election campaign, until every last Tory did exactly as he had been told.

Having been annihilated in two state elections earlier this year, Tory hopes for a General Election victory in 2001 were all but abandoned when they lost a federal by-election in a seat that had previously returned a Tory member for decades. Even the Tory Leader and Prime Minister, John Howard, had admitted that the Tories were "gone for all money."

Refugees to the rescue

In the meantime, the Labor party were busy planning how they would divide the spoils of their inevitable victory. They were a policy free zone, adopting the tactic of being a small target, and sitting back to watch the polls indicate growing anti-Tory sentiment. Then, in his darkest hour, the PM was thrown a lifeline from the Tampa, a Norwegian cargo ship. The Tampa had rescued a few hundred illegal immigrants from their sinking boat off the Indonesian coast, and had intended to return them to Indonesia. The trouble was that these illegal immigrants didn't want to go back. They had

paid good money to people smugglers to get to Australia, and they had also "lost" all of their identity papers after leaving Indonesia. (This makes it more difficult for Australia to prove that they are not genuine refugees.) Indonesia then said that it would not allow the illegals to return, and the Tampa captain was told that he would not be given permission to offload the illegals. The Tampa then headed for Christmas Island, an Australian territory off the north west coast.

Getting tough

Hundreds of boatloads of illegal immigrants had previously set sail for Australia from Indonesia during the term of the Tory government, and all the government had done was send out Navy ships to welcome them. Not this time. The PM refused the Tampa captain permission to enter Australian waters, but he came anyway, and the deadlock developed into an 'international crisis'.

The broadcast media were full of outrage that the PM would offer even token resistance to open border policies, but the talk-back radio stations went ballistic, with the PM receiving overwhelming popular support. The government decided to lock in this support,

and put pressure on the Labor party, by introducing some moderate enhancements to immigration legislation.

The knee-jerk Labor reaction was to vote against the proposals, but they soon realised to their horror that they had taken a huge hit in the polls, with traditional working class voters jumping ship to support the Tories. Within a week, Labor went from a massive 15% lead to being 15% behind. An election was due within a couple of months, and they faced a wipe-out. Pragmatism defeated principle, and the Labor party was forced into a policy back flip.

The Tories rubbed salt in the wound by introducing new legislation with more extensive changes to border protection laws, which the Labor party was forced to support through clenched teeth.

Liberal outrage

The media, academic and religious leaders, special interest groups and assorted moral supremacists were furious. They had attempted to sideline One Nation via the ban on preference deals, but they had forced the PM into a situation where he had to steal One Nation's policies to win back the voters. To make matters even worse, the border protection policies were so popular that the Labor party was also forced to support them. One Nation's policies on border protection, which had been ridiculed as 'extremist' and

"We decide who comes to this country and the circumstances in which they come."

John Howard Campaign Launch, 28 October 2001

A vote for your local Liberal team member protects our borders and supports the Prime Minister's team.



'racist' a few weeks earlier, now had bipartisan support from the major parties and overwhelming public support! The Machiavellian plans of One Nation's opponents had backfired spectacularly!

The irony was that if the Tories had been allowed to exchange preferences with One Nation, it's possible that One Nation may have won a Senate position, but their influence on government policy would have been negligible. There was much gnashing of teeth, wailing and indignant outrage. The Tampa tantrum went ballistic, but from that moment the election result became a foregone conclusion.

Elitists defeated

The election wasn't a battle between Labor and the Tories anymore, it became a battle between elitist opinion versus common sense, and common sense won by a landslide. The 'elites' received a massive middle finger salute from the Australian public.

The chattering class elite have since been spinning themselves into an unbalanced state of disorientated hysteria as they desperately try to come to grips with the election result. Their only consolation was the 4% decline in One Nation's vote. However, this had to be expected given that the Tories had a better chance of forming a government, and therefore a better chance of implementing and maintaining the border protection policies. It wasn't a surprise that the Tories attracted some of the One Nation vote.

The end result was that while One Nation's candidates didn't win at the election, One Nation's policies did. Since the whole point of electing representatives to Parliament is to influence government policy, One Nation has achieved a major objective.

There are also several other promising developments that have resulted from the election campaign.

One of the most important implications of One Nation's policy victory is that the guilt-inducing 'racism' response (I won't call it an argument) has lost its potency. Name-calling has been proven to be ineffective. Voters refuse to be intimidated by those who rely on insults and abuse, due to their inability to engage in reasoned debate.

Internal division

One Nation's political opponents are also suffering from internal division. The Labor Party's insincerity in supporting the new

border protection legislation was obvious from the beginning. The PM had driven a wedge between the progressive left elites and the traditional working class. Some Labor Party Senators even second guessed their leader, and made public statements indicating that Labor would review (i.e. abolish) the border protection laws when they won government.

The Labor party's attempts to paper over the cracks still didn't stop the 'progressive-left' elites from defecting to the Greens, with the result that Labor achieved its worst primary vote since the defeat of the Scullen government in 1931. The ALP vote in Sydney was the worst in 100 years. A major party split looks imminent, with a huge internal brawl over control of party policy.

Tactical voting

The Tories also have their share of so-called 'moderates', who are also opposed to its tougher (but still woefully inadequate) border protection policies. Previous Tory leaders even joined in with Labor and Big Media spokesmen and said that a vote for the Tories was a vote for racism. Sooner or later the Tories are

also headed for some serious infighting!

Another good sign was that the proportion of primary votes that didn't go to the major parties remained above the 20% mark, despite the temporary drift of some One Nation voters to the Tories. More and more people are now willing to break long term allegiances with the major parties, and are basing their voting decisions on policy and national interest rather than misplaced historical loyalty.

Election trick

Within a few days of the election, there have already been calls on the Tories to betray the voters and abandon their border protection policies, and it's just a matter of time before they do. Just as with Margaret Thatcher's "We Are Being Swamped" trick, the Australian Tories only ever intended to raise the immigration issue as temporary window dressing during the election campaign, and they are arrogant enough to believe that the voters will forget their betrayal before the next election is called. One Nation will be ready to remind them.

*Report from
Greg Weilo*

The Danish general election

The recent Danish elections have confirmed that the national right in Denmark are on their way to power. The Social Democratic government was voted out of power in a landmark general election that handed power to conservatives who pledged to clamp down on immigration.

Official results showed that right-of-centre opposition parties, headed by Anders Fogh Rasmussen's conservative Liberals, would control 98 seats in the 179-seat parliament, compared to just 77 seats for the Social Democrat-led coalition. The nationalist Danish People's Party, which has described immigration as the single biggest threat to Danish society, made strong gains in the election, nearly doubling its representation in parliament from the last election in 1998! Although Fogh Rasmussen has vowed to exclude the DPP from government, he will nonetheless need the party's informal support in parliament to pass legislation

and analysts say the DPP has become a serious political force to be reckoned with in Denmark! Thanks to the DPP's strong showing, a right-wing majority consisting of the conservative Liberals, the Conservative Party and the DPP will together control 93 of the 179 parliamentary seats. The DPP's election slogan was "Vote Danish!" During the campaign, many Danes said they wanted to see more funding for their hospitals than for foreign aid and social



welfare for refugees and immigrants. The Social Democrats' contrasting calls for a more "humane" refugee policy and better integration of immigrants in Danish society appear to have fallen on deaf ears!

P. Golding

The battle for freedom of speech in our nation's universities...

Britain's universities are world renowned for their excellent standard of education and students from all over the Europe and beyond come to Britain every year to study. We are told that the brightest young minds that Britain has to offer make up our student population, but the Politically Correct authorities treat students like children.



The garbage of the Anti-'Nazi' League show their true treacherous colours by burning the British flag at a rally

University students studying the sciences are expected to be able to devise experiments to test or disprove theories; those studying arts subjects are supposed to learn how to develop an argument or to criticise a position. For these practical reasons - let alone the fact that the right to speak your own mind is something for which generations of Britons no older than today's students have bled and died on battlefields all over the world - one might expect to find a healthy respect for free speech. But it's just not like that any more.

Marxist attack

Free speech was first destroyed by the Marxists who dominated the Student Unions and NUS in the 1970s. They adopted a "No Platform for Racists and Fascists" policy, under which various followers of mass murderers such as Lenin, Trotsky, Stalin and Mao decided who was a "racist" or a "fascist." This affront to the long established British tradition of free speech has stood pretty much intact

since then, but it's getting increasingly wobbly as each new generation of students includes fewer and fewer Marxist bigots, bores and cranks.

Nationalists organised

Last year, a group of nationalist students organised a direct challenge to the "No Platform" policy at Leeds University. We met stiff resistance from various Stalinist and Leninist groups such as the pro-IRA, pro-paedophile Socialist Workers Party, as well as the Jewish Society, who went to the lengths of bringing in Ray Hill, a convicted thief and racist thug who spent time as paid informer and *agent provocateur* in the BNP, to build support for the repressive status quo. This eventually led to the University's Free Speech Society being banned by the Union Council.

Last year the student paper, *Leeds Student*, was the voice of reason on campus. It remained impartial on the issue and allowed an open debate that ran all year. What really perplexed many students was the fact that

although the BNP is a totally legal and registered political party, we weren't allowed to voice our opinions. Many people took part in this debate and young nationalists on campus spent much time debating with other students on this issue.

Don't mention the BNP

This year, however, the people running *Leeds Student* have been told that if they as much as mention the BNP or Young BNP leader Mark Collett they will lose all their funding! They couldn't even print my opinion in a survey about favourite flavours of ice cream! The ban even applies to stories critical of the party, lest their appearance give us a voice under the "right to reply."

So the Union Executive and the Union Council have taken the disgustingly undemocratic step of banning all political debate, including criticism of the BNP, to prevent our political message or even our very existence being publicised. Thus the 'liberals' are now even gagging the Marxist groups which first came up with the no platform policy. We are *all* being robbed of the right to express our opinions on race, culture and heritage. The student population at Leeds has been turned from a

vibrant group of young people with flourishing open debate on a range of topics to one in which everyone is silenced under a sick Stalinist form of oppression, a totalitarian regime under which even a society for the preservation of free speech is banned!

Censorship

The people who put this No Platform policy in place say that the BNP and its members are mad and no one really wants to hear what we have to say, thus the No Platform policy protects students! Well if we are so mad then why won't they debate with us? Surely their ideology is not that weak?

The truth is that no one seeks to silence a mad man for this is pointless, as his ramblings are easily exposed. But an individual who tells the uncomfortable truth about the failings of a sick society is always a threat. Thus the Union and its corrupt council and executive have banned the very mention of the BNP or of my name. Nothing could show better just how much they fear our message. Deep down they know that the liberal system is collapsing and that our message is one of truth and love, not lies and hatred. They know that nationalism is the wave of the future, but they still think they can play King Canute. They will learn, however, that no-one - least of all the Union Executive of Leeds University - can turn back the tide of history!

Mark Collett, YBNP leader



Students have been silenced by an iron curtain of politically correct censorship. Groups like the Young BNP and the British Students' Association are working hard for a return to freedom of speech

Forging the Union: the making of our nation

One of our people's greatest achievements is the very state we live in, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, to give our country its full title, under one monarch and one which has not been ravaged by ethnic conflict that has caused suffering amongst many of our continental neighbours. Our collective achievements are too many to list, we once held sway over a quarter of the World's surface under the British Empire, our main language is universal and we led the world with the Industrial Revolution. Each component nation of the kingdom has seen the flowering of culture, engineering and heroic adventure by Scots, Welsh, English, Irish and Ulsterfolk.



Presentation of the Act of Union to Queen Anne 1707

Our country is not a nation of immigrants. Our genes were borne out of the people who dwelt at the edge of the European ice cap tens of thousands of years ago. The language, law and leaders have changed, the people haven't.

Internal conflict

The Romans left the shores of Britannia in the 5th century AD with the 20 or so British tribes fighting one another. The next four centuries saw waves of attackers led by various Jutish, Anglian and Saxon nobility all seeking to establish themselves as kings of these islands. These Germanic warlords prevailed. Norse and Danish fleets attacked the Irish and Scottish coasts and shores of the Saxon kingdoms of

Anglia and Northumbria. The constant threat of external attack led men of vision to unify their own people, men like Kenneth mac Alpin uniting the two warring kingdoms of the Picts and the Scots, (c. 847) and his contemporary Alfred the Great, a Saxon who brought about the unification of England (c. 888). At around the same time Rhodri the King of Gwynedd briefly united his people before Wales was attacked and divided by the Mercian leaders. No English king made greater efforts to rule the whole of Britain than Edward 1st - who dreamed of a

reborn Britannia. The union between England and Wales was completed after the defeat of Llywelyn in 1283 and the Statute of Rhuddlan, which imposed Norman law throughout Wales was enacted a year later. Edward engineered a masterpiece of diplomacy by his first son, also Edward being born in Wales being given the title *Prince of Wales* (a title enduring to this day). The crowns of England and Scotland have been one since 1603 with the Act of Union, signed in 1707, marking England's and Scotland's agreement to permanent union as Great Britain.

Making of Britain

The Norman king Henry II was the first to expand his rule into Ireland. In 1171 he landed a

force and received homage from all but two of Ireland's chieftains. Six centuries of troubles, with dirty deeds by all parties, then followed before full political unity was achieved with the term United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland being adopted in 1801. The Anglo-Irish treaty of 1921 formalised a partition of Ireland and the current name of our country, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, was adopted in 1927.

Great achievements

With the nations of these Isles united as one, the Britannic peoples went on to build the mightiest Empire in history, which brought civilisation to much of the world. They also went on to construct great nations overseas, created in our image, such as America, Canada and Australia. In an age where our enemies are using Hollywood propaganda and petty EU regulations to fracture the unity of our nation, it is the great mission of our movement to once again unite the peoples of Britain!


Stephen McDonald



Unity in diversity. Local cultures contribute much to our overall British identity

MONTHLY REVIEW

The Great Betrayal, by Pat Buchanan, reviewed by John Armstrong



Readers will recall that Buchanan was for a time the frontrunner for the Republican candidacy for President in 1996, and he uses his tours of the United States to illustrate the damage done to communities by free trade, globalisation and in particular NAFTA. It is the manic logic of free trade that is creating a schism in American society between those who benefit - chiefly bankers, brokers, media elites and privileged academics who argue free trade is good - and the millions of middle and working class families that have seen their living standards fall since 1970 as a result of often unfair competition. He argues that Britain's addiction to Free Trade after the Repeal of the Corn Laws in 1846 squandered her industrial lead against Prussia and the USA precisely because the latter two countries used protectionism to succour their infant industries. From these beginnings Free Trade has now spread worldwide, leading to the rapid de-industrialisation of the US (and more so the UK), and because manufacturing jobs pay better than service work, there is less money for health and social care provision leading to more public squalor. The Great Betrayal indeed.

The Great Betrayal is available from Freedom books at £16.85p inc. 376p p&p. Send to PO Box 20, Mansfield, Notts, NG19 6HP.

CELTIC / NORDIC STYLE GIFTS



These superb quality gifts are all inspired by the rich cultural heritage of the Celts, Anglo-Saxons and Vikings. All orders should be sent to PO Box 14, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 0WE. Cheques/POs payable to 'Freedom Promotions'. Please add sum in brackets for post and packing.

LAMPSHADE



Celtic design lampshades. Thick paper with frame. £3.50 (50p)

WALL HANGINGS



Celtic wall hangings. 3' square. Colours as shown. £5 (50p)

PURSES / HANDBAGS



Handbag £4 (50p) Purses - designs and colours vary, but all are Celtic and make lovely little gifts £2.50 (30p)

PLAQUES



Metallic style plaques, inspired by monastic illuminations. Left: Bronze birds. Top right: Silver dogs. 12" high. Each £15 (£3.50)

MIRROR / CLOCK



Celtic knot clock. This exquisite timepiece is just 5" high but delights the eye. £18.50 (£1.50)

Mirror. 12" high. £45. This stunning piece of art is not available by post as it is too heavy. But it's on sale at major national meetings or by arrangement over the phone. Tiny but delightful ring or pill boxes. Beautifully made with screw-top lids. All-over knot-work designs. £6.50 (50p)

NIGHTLIGHT HOLDERS



Nightlight holders: Small £5 (75p), medium £6.50 (£1), large (on right) £7.50 (£1.50). Please state Silver or Bronze effects.

DECORATIVE PLATES



Top: Wide rim bowl 7.5" £15 (£2)
Bottom: Ironstone effect plate £17 (£2)

CLOTHES



LEFT: Dip-dyed top. M/L £7 (50p); CENTRE: Purple strapped top M/L £6 (50p); RIGHT: Hooded top £9 (50p)

GOBLET



Magnificent ceramic drinking goblet Approx 8" high £30 (£3)

All prices include VAT. We aim to get you your orders within 21 days, but if your choice is sold out, it may take a little longer. Please give a phone or email contact with your order in case we need to contact you. Sorry, we cannot accept credit or debit cards.